A NEW ECONOMY: HUMAN PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT (HPI) FOR MAXIMIZE ORGANIZATIONAL RESULTS

Author Name- Dr Kotgire Manisha Arvind
M.Com. B. Ed. Ph. D.MBA
Head & Associate Prof. Dept. Of Commerce
Kohinoor Arts, Commerce & Science College
Khultabad.Dist. Aurangabad. (M.S)
Email ID- mkotgire@yahoo.com
Mob. No. 9890576758

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to focus on the idea that the world is developing a single economy and culture as a result of improved technology and communications and the influence of very large multinational companies in that things today's Organizations need to achieve positive results in a decided time. Human Performance Improvement can be used it help build in intellectual capital, establish and maintain a high performance workplace, enhance profitability, and encourage productivity. The HPI Practitioners role can be categorized into that of an analyst, an intervention specialist, a change manger, and evaluator. Since the HPI model stands on the role, competencies, and work output of the analyst, the work of the analyst is considered to be mist critical.

Key Features- Tools and techniques fundamental to the practice of HPI.

Objects

- 1) Study how to use HPI tool for organizational Development.
- 2) To Understand Performance Analysis.
- 3) To study the reason of problem.

• Data & Methodology

The study is primarily based on primary data. The secondary data was collected from various magazines of corporate outlets, news paper . Internet. The primary data is collected from various company through simple random sampling in different leading.

Scope

Human performance in a very broad sense. Essentially, the entire set of management processes in a nuclear organization involves the active participation of people. Therefore, all the processes include human performance elements. Also, people perform their work within a nested set of "communities" and their performance is effected in these various subgroups of the larger organization. For example, the individual, the supervisor, the work team, the organization and the broader community all impact on people's patterns of thinking and behaviour — their culture. Consequently, changes in human performance have to be recognized as taking place within a wide range of contexts. This paper considers human performance at all levels of the organization, studies are provided that address human performance at the working level and other levels up to and including corporate management.

DEFINITION

The systematic process of discovering and analyzing important human performance gaps, planning for future improvements in human performance, designing and developing cost-effective and ethically justifiable interventions to close performance gaps, implementing the interventions, and evaluating the financial and non-financial results.

• Introduction

If ever there was a need for fresh eyes and an enhanced set of models and practices for organizations and their current and possible impact on economic well-being (large or small), now is the time. Today's dynamic organizations must achieve positive results in record time a challenge that requires managers to avoid problems before they arise and to solve these issues quickly. Human performance Improvement(HPI) is powerful tool that can be used to help build intellectual capital, establish and maintain a "high performance workplace, enhance profitability and encourage productivity" as well as increase on equity and improved safety although the ultimate focus of HPI is on performance and accomplishments, behaviour contributes to the performance as they can positively or adversely affect the performance.

• Review of Literature

There are a number of factors, which affect performance. The <u>authors Rummler abd</u> <u>Brache</u> have pointed out six variables that affects the jib performance namely barriers, performance expectations, consequences ,feedback ,knowledge/skill, and individual capacity

The field of HPT, also referred to as Performance Improvement, emerged from the fields of EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY and INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY in the 1950s and 1960s. In the post war period, application of the Instructional Systems Design (ISD) model was not consistently returning the desired improvements to organizational performance. This led the emergence of HPT as a separate field from ISD in the late 1960s to early 1970s when the National Society for Programmed Instruction was renamed the National Society for Performance and Instruction (NSPI) and then again to the International Society for Performance Improvement (ISPI) in 1995. (Chyung, 2008) HPT evolved as a systemic and systematic approach to address complex types of performance issues and to assist in the proper diagnosis and implementation of solutions to close performance gaps among individuals.HPT professionals work in many different performance settings such as corporate, educational institutions, and the military (Bolin, 2007).

Thoomas Gilberts Behavior Engineering Model includes the following , which affects the performance namely:

- a. Data and information
- b. Resources, tools, and environmental supports
- c. Consequences, incentives and rewards
- d. Skill and knowledge
- e. Individual capacity
- f. Motives.

Vol. I No 48 Nov 2023 ISSN 2231-4687 (P) http://www.ijme.co.in { SJIF 2021: 6.394}

The roles of the HPI practitioner can be categorized into that of an analyst, an intervention specialist, a change manger, and an evaluator. The process which these roles go through may be termed as performance analysis, cause analysis, intervention, implementation, change management, and evaluation and measurement. The first and most improved. of human performance gaps of identify areas in which human performance can be improved. Diagnosis of a problem is done at this stage, on the basis of which the rest of the steps will follow. The core competencies associated with HPI work are 12 in number and these are again divided into six competencies each. These core competencies consist of:

- 1 Industry awareness
- 2 Leadership skills
- 3 Interpersonal relationship skills
- 4 Technological awareness and understanding
- 5 Problem –solving skills
- 6 Systems thinking and understanding
- 7 Business Understanding
- 8 Consulting skills
- 9 Project management skills
- 10 performance understanding
- 11 knowledge of interventions
- 12 Ability to see the "Big picture"

The importance of analysis has been stated and rested by many since, during the analysis phase the problem is defined, it is considered the most important phase.

- The analyst performs two roles-
 - 1) Performance analysis
 - 2) Cause analysis
- <u>Performance analysis</u> Performance analysis is the process of identifying the organizations performance requirements and comparing them to its objectives and capabilities

<u>Following models and tools provide systematic methods for examining human performance problems</u>

Nine performance variables

The three levels	The three Goals	Performance	Needs
of performance		Design	Management
Organization	Organization goals	Organization	Organization
levels		design	Management
	Has the	Are all relevant	Have appropriate
	Organizations	functions in	function goals
	strategy been	place? Are all	been set? Is
	articulated? Does	functions	relevant
	this strategy make	necessary? Is the	performance
	sense in terms of	current flow of	measured? Are

Vol. I No 48 Nov 2023 ISSN 2231-4687 (P) http://www.ijme.co.in { SJIF 2021: 6.394}

	external threats	inputs and outputs	resources
	etc.? Have the	between functions	appropriately
	required outputs	appropriate? Does	allocated? Are
	and level of	the organization	the interfaces
	performance	structure support	between
	expected been	the strategy?	functions being
	determined and		managed?
	communicated?		
Process Level	Process Goals	Process Design	Process
	Are goals for key	Is this the most	Management
	process linked to	efficient/effective	Have appropriate
	customer/ org.	process for	process sub-
	Requirements?	accomplishing the	goals been set?
		process goals?	Is process
			performance
			managed?
Job/Performance	Job/Performance	Job Design	Job/Performer
Level	Goals	Are process	Management
	Are job outputs	requirements	Do the
	and standards	reflected in the	performers
	linked to process	appropriate jobs?	understand the
	requirements?	Are job steps in a	job goals? Do
		logical sequence?	the performers
		Have supportive	have sufficient
		policies and	resources, clear
		procedures been	signals and a
		developed? Is the	logical job
		job environment	design? Are the
		ergonomically	Performers
		sound?	rewired for
			achieving the job
			goals? Do the
			Do the performers have
			the necessary
			knowledge/skill
			to achieve the
			job goals?
			Jou goals:

Source: Rummler, G A & Brache, A.P. Improving Performance

The Rummler, G A & Brache Models shows the three performance levels i.e. the organizational, process and individual levels on one axis whereas on the other axis the model shows the three performance needs i.e. goals, ,design, and management. This model illustrates the relationship between the

three performance levels and needs. If there is consistency among the three levels it is easier for the organization to achieve its objective.

<u>Cause analysis-</u> Cause analysis is the process of determining the root cause of past, present, or future performance gaps. It involves examining the discrepancies identified through performance analysis and determining their causes.

determining the root cause of performance problems is very important because very often the symptoms or visible manifestations are taken to be the root cause. These symptoms are called the presenting problems. They are the consequences of results of another cause, but not the cause itself. The cause is the underlying reason for any problem. There are a number of tools to help the analyst uncover the root cause of the problem. There are a number of tools to help the analyst uncover the root cause of problem. Some of them are brainstorming, cause and- effect analysis, five-why technique, system modelling, high level flowcharting, detailed flowcharting, etc.

Conclusions

As an approach, human performance improvement (HPI) enables the change agent or manager to view their organization. They can systemically and systematically understand it in terms of what's needed to accelerate learning and support adaptive, high performance in a sustainable manner. Current gaps and their potential solutions can be identified, prioritized, and implemented against strategic needs and resources. There is an increased probability that alignment can be enhanced, performance barriers removed or mitigated, engagement and trust increased, and both efficiency and effectiveness concerns addressed. An intervention-neutral stance, informed by analysis of the data. Increases the chance that wise choices of solutions can be made. The examples provided, Knowledge Management Systems and coaching, are part of the toolkit available to positively impact learning, performance, and business results. Each organization will have many opportunities to identify solutions that can work together synergistically, multiplying the intended beneficial consequences. The role of the analyst is the most critical factor in the entire process of HPI the output of this role is the basis of all the decision taken for the performance Improvements efforts.

• Reference-

1) Addison, R., Haig, C., Kearny, L. (2009). Performance architecture:

The art and science of improving performance, San Francisco, CA, USA: Pfeiffer

- 2) American Management Association (2008). Coaching: A global study of successful practices. [online] Available at: [Accessed 29 March 2009]
- 3)Bergquist, W., Mura, A. (2011). "Coachbook. A guide to organizational coaching strategies and practices". [online] Available at: [Accessed 16 July 2014]
- 4)Cooper, J. (2011). "The practice of coaching by managers:

Impacts of organizational, cultural, and other supports and hindrances",

International Journal of Management and Economics IJM&E (Blind Peer Reviewed)

Vol. I No 48 Nov 2023 ISSN 2231-4687 (P) http://www.ijme.co.in { SJIF 2021: 6.394}

- IJCO The International Journal of Coaching in Organizations, 8(2), pp. 74,85
- 5) Dowdy, J., Van Reenen, J. (2014). "Why management matters for productivity", McKinsey Quarterly. [online] Available at:

[Accessed 3 November 2014]

- 6)Hristache, D.A., Iacob, S.E. (2012). "Globalism and corporate identity in the post-crisis economy", Journal of Theoretical and Applied Economics XIX, 2(567), pp. 81-88
- 7)Lazăr, J. (2005). "Developing management practices through executive coaching plus A case study. Presentation at ISPI Management of Organizational Performance Fall Conference", Las Vegas, Nevada, USA
- 8)Lazăr, J.B., Robu, D. (2014). "Modeling a systematic approach to solving performance problems that deliver value: A case study application", Presentation at ISPI EMEA Conference, Warsaw, Poland
- 9)Manyika, J., Remes, J., Woetzel, J. (2014). "A productivity perspective on the future of growth", McKinsey Quarterly. [online] Available at: [Accessed 8 November 2014]
- 10) Van Tiem, D.M., Moseley, J.L., Dessinger, J.C. (2012). Fundamentals of performance improvement: Optimizing results through people, process, and organizations, 3rd ed. San Francisco, CA, USA: Pfeiffer